(MFA) (Arai et al., 2001; Chan et al., 2003a; Vallino and Stephanopoulos, 1994) and  $\hat{a}x$  balance analysis (FBA) (Edwards et al., 2002; Kauffman et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2006; Varma and Palsson, 1994). In both approaches, mass balance equations are written for each internal metabolite with the assumption of pseudosteady state. If the system is overdetermined, MFA is used to calculate all unknown axes and evaluate the gross measurement error using redundant information (Wang and Stephanopoulos, 1983). If the system is underdetermined, FBA uses constrained optimization to identify the ax distribution of the metabolic network (Lee et al., 2006). Using appropriate metabolic objectives that cell strives to achieve (Gianchandani et al., 2008; Khannapho et al., 2008; Schuetz et al., 2007) and considering valid constraints that reduce the feasible region (Bonarius et al., 1997) are important issues in application of FBA. Because the cellular objectives may not be known or easily hypothesized, optimization frameworks have been developed to infer the metabolic objectives (Burgard and Maranas, 2003), applied to the hypermetabolic state of the liver (Nolan et al., 2006) and to cultured hepatocytes (Uygun et al., 2007).

The consideration of various constraints, describing environmental (nutrients, physical factors, etc.), physicochemical (mass balance, thermodynamic of internal reactions, maximum enzyme capacities), self-imposed regulatory, and evolutionary constraints, allows to reduce the feasible region of an FBA problem (Covert et al., 2003), and to determine the state of the cell (Edwards and Palsson, 2000). Recently, three additional thermodynamics constraints using the second law of thermodynamics, including energy balance analysis (EBA), pathway energy balance constraints (PEB), and networkembedded thermodynamic analysis (NET analysis), have been incorporated into the FBA model to reduce the solution space by deleting thermodynamically infeasible reactions or pathways (Beard and Qian, 2005; Kummel et al., 2006; Nolan et al., 2006). The main contribution of EBA ensures that entropy production is positive for each reaction, which defees reaction direction. PEB constraints successfully extended the second law of thermodynamics to an overall distribution of Gibbs free energy in a pathway instead of in an individual reaction; this approach has been used to identify the intracellular @xes for the case of liver metabolism (Nolan et al., 2006). Network-embedded thermodynamic analysis (NET analysis) has been developed to determine the range of Gibbs energy of reactions and feasible range of metabolite concentration for the entire network utilizing the second law of thermodynamics, the reaction directionalities, and metabolite concentrations within an optimization model (Kummel et al., 2006; Zamboni et al., 2008).

In this work, the framework of metabolic network actibility analysis (MNFA) is used, which includes mass balance constraints, reaction irreversibility restrictions, experimental measurements of extracellular ackes that impose bounds on ack values, and pathway energy balance constraints in order to determine the range of ack distribution that describes the metabolic state of the cell. In addition, a bilevel programming problem is used to derive the metabolic objectives. The developed optimization tools are applied to hepatocyte metabolism in order to investigate the amino acid supplement effects on cell functions during plasma exposure. Specially, the roles of lipid metabolism, gluconeogenesis/glycolysis, the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) cycle, and urea cycle in regulating urea production are elucidated.

Materials and Methods

Hepatocyte culture

Hepatocytes were cultured using the well-established collagen sandwich method (Dunn et al., 1991; Yang et al., 2009). Prior to initiating the cultures, 0.4 mL of collagen mixture solution was added to each well of six-well plates and incubated at 378C and 10% CO<sub>2</sub> until the gel solidi**2**d. Cryopreserved rat hepatocytes purchased from Xenotech LLP (Lenexa, KS) were thawed following the Xenotech protocol and reconstituted to a density of 10<sup>6</sup> cells/mL in CMH standard medium, which consists of DMEM supplemented with 10% heat- inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 7.0 ng/mL glucagon, 7.5 mg/mL hydrocortisone, 20 mg/L epidermal growth factor, 200 U/mL penicillin, and 200 mg/mL streptomycin. Reconstituted hepatocytes were seeded on a plate with collagen gel for 24 h, at which time another 0.4 mL of collagen gel was added, resulting in a double sandwich confouration. After the second gel layer solidied, 0.8 mL fresh C 1/6H medium with 0.05 mU/mL insulin was added. This maintenance medium was exchanged with spent medium every day for 6 days preconditioning.

To begin the experiment, 6-day-old sandwiched hepatocyte cultures were exposed to plasma with hormone supplementation including 7.5 mg/mL of hydrocortisone and 0.05 mU/mL of insulin. Treatment groups varied in the amino acid supplementation in the plasma, comparing signed mino acid supplementation (DAA) based on a rational design approach described in our previous work (Yang et al., 2009), afterence mino acid supplementation (RAA) based on published data (Chan et al., 2003c), and no amino acid supplementation (NAA). The concentrations corresponding to each amino acid supplementation are given in the supporting material (Supplementary Table S1). Those media were exchanged with spent media every day for 5 days plasma exposure. At the end of the experiment, culture supernatants were collected and stored at 48C prior to analysis.

## Biochemical assays

After 5 days exposure to the plasma with the various amino acid supplementation, albumin production was quantiful by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using puriful rat albumin (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH) and peroxidase-conjugated antibody for detection (MP Biomedicals). The concentrations of urea, glucose, lactate, glycerol, and glutamine were measured colorimetrically using commercial test kits (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Enzymatic kits were utilized for the measurement of cholesterol (BioAssay System, Hayward, CA), acetoacetate, b-hydroxybutyrate, triglycerides (Stanbio, Boerne, TX), and free fatty acids (Roche, Indianapolis, IN).

Amino acids and ammonia were labeled orescently with the AccQ reagent (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) and separated by gradient elution from 100% buffer A (10% acetonitrile, 6% formic acid, and 84% ammonium formate in water) to 100% buffer B (60% acetonitrile, 40% micropore water) in 33 min at 1 mL/min ow rate on an AccQ-Tag C18 column (150 mm 3.9 mm i.d, Waters Corporation) with a orescence detector (Waters 470; Waters Corporation) using excitation/emission at 250/395 nm, respectively. Serial dilutions of standards were used to construct a calibration curve for each analyte, with the linear portion utilized for

measurements. The concentrations of amino acid/ammonia in the fresh or spent medium were determined using these standard curves, with sample dilutions performed as necessary to operate within the linear range.

#### **Statistics**

All measurement results were expressed as mean standard deviation for three replicate culture plates. To evaluate the effects of amino acids supplementation on extracellular  $\hat{\omega}$  was of hepatocytes, data were analyzed with Tukey $\hat{\omega}$  test using SAS software (SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC). Signi $\hat{\omega}$  int differences were accepted as signi $\hat{\omega}$  and at p < 0.05.

### Basic hepatic network

The major reactions of hepatic metabolism were considered in a highly interconnected stoichiometric network that includes glycolysis/gluconoegenesis, the TCA cycle, urea cycle, amino acid uptake/secretion and catabolism, oxygen uptake, electron transport system, pentose phosphate reactions (as a lumped group), ketone body synthesis, fatty acid, triglyceride (TG), and glycerol metabolism. This network builds upon previously reported hepatic networks and involves 46 intracellular metabolites (Chan et al., 2003c; Lee et al., 2003; Nagrath et al., 2007; Sharma et al., 2005; Uygun et al., 2007), and 78 reactions (30 reactions with measurement data, 48 reactions with unknown as labeled in Supporting Data Table S2).

## Standard and minimum PEB constraints

Standard PEB constraints have been developed in order to eliminate infeasible  $\widehat{\mathbf{o}}_{\mathbf{k}}$  distributions (Nolan et al., 2006), which express an overall distribution of Gibbs free energy to the reactions involved in an elementary mode, in which endergonic reactions (DG > 0) can be  $\widehat{\mathbf{o}}_{\mathbf{k}}$  wered  $\widehat{\mathbf{o}}_{\mathbf{k}}$  exergonic reactions (DG < 0) if those reactions are  $\widehat{\mathbf{o}}_{\mathbf{k}}$  upled  $\widehat{\mathbf{o}}_{\mathbf{k}}$  the same elementary modes and the overall free energy change weighted by their  $\widehat{\mathbf{o}}_{\mathbf{k}}$  es is negative (Stephanopoulos et al., 1998). The constraints have the following form:

$$DG_p^0$$
  $V$   $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{M}$   $(1)$ 

where  $DG_p^0$  is a matrix (P N dimension) of pathway free energies, v is the  $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{k}$  vector (N 1 dimension), and the superscript 0 represent the standard state (pH 7, 1 atm, 258C, concentration 1 M, dilute aqueous solution).

The matrix  $DG_p^0$  is formed as follows. First, the Gibbs free energy of reaction  $DG_{RXN}^0$  is defied in a standard state as follows:

where  $a_{pr}$  and  $b_r$  are the stoichiometric coef@ents of products and reactants in the biochemical reaction, respectively;  $DG^0_{f_{pr}}$  and  $DG^0_{f_r}$  are the standard Gibbs free energy of each product and reactant, respectively, which can be estimated using a group-contribution method (Mavrovouniotis, 1991; Nolan et al., 2006). Then the matrix elementary mode E (P N dimension, where P is the set of all modes) is determined using the elementary @x algorithm (Schuster et al., 2000, 2002), implemented in Matlab Fluxanalyzer (Klamt et al., 2003). An element-by-element multiplication is then per-

formed between the vector of  $DG_{RXN}^0$  (Dg) with each of the P rows of matrix E (Nolan et al., 2006) as follows:

$$DG_{pj} \& E_{pj} Dg_j$$
 (3)

where j is the number of reactions and p is number of elementary modes.

In this study, the Gibbs free energy of reaction ( $DG_{RXN}$ ) is used corresponding to the physiological state represented by cellular concentrations of metabolites rather than the standard free energy change ( $DG_{RXN}^0$ ) corresponding to the standard state. We compiled concentrations based on the studies that appear in the literature. It should be noticed that, in most cases, there are not precise values but rather are represented as a range of values.

Because the thermodynamic constraint is used to eliminate infeasible pathways, it is possible that uncertainty in metabolite concentration values could lead to a situation where the feasibility of a pathway is also uncertain. In order to defe a conservative criterion wherein no feasible pathways are eliminated, we utilized minimum pathway free energies constructed from minimum Gibbs free energies of reaction,  $DG_{RXN}^{min}$ . To evaluate the minimum Gibbs free energy of intracellular reactions we use the minimum concentration of products and maximum concentration for reactant, as follows:

where R is the ideal gas constant, T is the temperature (K); and C are the intracellular concentrations of metabolites that are estimated from literature values and expressed as minimum value for productions ( $C_{pr,min}$ ) or maximum value for reactant (C<sub>r,max</sub>) corresponding to the different values reported or estimated. For the extracellular reactions (metabolite uptake from or secretion into the culture medium), the **a**t term is considered as zero (DG $^0_{RXN}$  %0) and only the second term in Equation 4 is used. The intracellular concentrations of metabolites are extracted from several literature sources that are similar to the experimental conditions we used. If the concentration ranges for particular metabolites vary between different sources, we considered the maximum range in order to calculate the minimum free energy change of the reaction. The ranges of extracellular concentrations of metabolites correspond to our experimental measurements. The details of range value of metabolite concentrations are provided in the supporting material (Supplementary Data Text S1).

Equation 4 is used to construct pathway energy balance constraints, called minimum PEB constraint (MPEB) as follows:

$$DG_p^{min} v \mathcal{V}$$
 (5)

where superscript min represents the deâed state based on the concentration range of metabolites. The details on the construction of MPEB constraints are given in the supporting material (Supplementary Data Text S2).

The major difference between those two PEB constraints is that the concentrations of the metabolites are considered in the minimum PEB constraints but not in the standard PEB

constraints. In this study, the minimum PEB constraints are incorporated into the optimization problem in order to restrict the feasible region of the  $\widehat{\omega}_{\!\!\! k}$  values that allows the differentiation between the cultured conditions and the evaluation of a thermodynamic feasible  $\widehat{\omega}_{\!\!\! k}$  range.

#### **MNFA**

Flux spectrum approach has been developed to calculated the  $\hat{\omega}_{k}$  range for each unknown  $\hat{\omega}_{k}$  (Llaneras and Pico, 2008; Wiback et al., 2004) by solving, separately, minimization and maximization linear programming for each unknown  $\hat{\omega}_{k}$ . This approach is important to estimate the  $\hat{\omega}_{k}$  range for an underdetermined network without unique solution. We further extend the  $\hat{\omega}_{k}$  spectrum approach by considering the minimum PEB constraints as constructed in the previous section and the bounds on the  $\hat{\omega}_{k}$  values based on measurement values. The resulted model is shown below and is referred as metabolic network  $\hat{\omega}_{k}$  ibility analysis (MNFA),

where  $S_{ij}$  is the stoichiometric coef@ent of metabolite i in reaction j;  $v_j$  is the reaction rate of reaction j; the @exes  $v_j^{min}$  and  $v_j^{max}$  are the lower and upper bound of constrained reactions, respectively; matrix  $DG_p^{min}$  is constructed as previous description; v is the @executor; v is the set of metabolites; v is the total number of reactions involved in the hepatic network; v is the set of constrained reactions (based on measurements and irreversibility), and v is the set of unknown reactions.

The main assumptions used to generate this model are as follows: (1) the internal metabolites are assumed to be maintained at pseudosteady state, which means their rate of change is small compared to their turnover. This assumption is valid for hepatocyte culture after 5 days plasma exposure (Chan et al., 2003b); (2) the bounds for reactions are restricted by multiple constraints including thermodynamic constraints, external  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}$  measurement in an interval [ $\mathbf{v}_{\min}, \mathbf{v}_{\max}$ ] based on the average value and standard derivation of measurements using triplicates, and irreversible reactions (v<sub>j</sub> 0) based on the information given in the metabolic map of KEGG (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000).

In order to determine the minimum and maximum values of each unknown  $\hat{\omega}_{k}$  (48 unknown reactions), model (I) is solved 48\*2 times using a linear programming algorithm implemented in CPLEX 9 using GAMS (GAMS, 2006).

# Metabolic Objective Prediction (MOP)

For the cases where a cellular objective can be identifed in terms of a metabolic fax, it can be used as a driving force to identify a consistent fax distribution within system constraints. However, for mammalian cells, the cellular objectives are manifold, dynamic, and generally unknown. Thus, we adopted a bilevel programming model Objad (Burgard and

Maranas, 2003) by incorporating minimum PEB constraints to infer the metabolic objectives of hepatocytes under varying amino acid supplementations as follows:

where  $c_i$  is the coef@ent of relative importance for each @ex V<sub>i</sub>, and L is the number of measured axes. Model (II) is a bilevel programming problem in which the upper level minimizes the sum of squared error between measured axes and their predicted values from the model (II), whereas the lower level maximizes the sum of all possible objectives and weights are chosen from the upper level problem. The measurements restrict the metabolic objectives chosen in the followers problem because the feasible region of the lower level problem is restricted by the upper level decision. Note that because in model (II)  $c_i$  is the variable of the upper level problem it can be considered as parameter in the lower level problem (Burgard and Maranas, 2003). Therefore, this bilevel programming can be reformulated into a single-level nonlinear program either by following the primal-dual strategy (Burgard and Maranas, 2003), or using the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions of the lower level problem (Shi et al., 2005). In this work we followed the KKT approach to transform the bilevel programming (model II) to a single-level optimization problem as follows:

where  $u_{1i}$ ,  $u_{2p}$ ,  $u_{3j}$ ,  $u_{4j}$  are dual variables for mass balance, minimum pathway energy balance (MPEB) constraints and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$  bounds, respectively; and  $u_{2p}$ ,  $u_{3j}$ ,  $u_{4j}$  are nonnegative variables.

The complementarity constraints of model (III) are further modiât to linear integer constraints by introducing binary variables (Saharidis and Ierapetritou, 2008). Model (III) corresponds to a mixed-integer nonlinear programming (MINLP) due to the quadratic objective function and is solved using a MINLP solver GAMS/SBB, which is based on a combination of standard Branch and Bound method and local nonlinear programming (NLP) solvers. The problem is solved in the order of hours using a Dell PC (3 GHz, 1 GB of RAM).

### Results

### Extracellular Fluxes

After 6 days of preconditioning, previously cryopreserved rat hepatocytes were exposed to plasma containing medium with varying amino acid supplementation for 5 days. At this time, the concentrations of extracellular metabolites in the supernatant and in the fresh medium were measured. The production or consumption rate of each extracellular metabolite was calculated by the difference between its concentration in the fresh medium and in the supernatant divided by the number cells in each well (10<sup>6</sup> cell/mL as the cell density at the beginning of the experiment because hepatocytes are not growing) and the time interval (1 day) over which the medium was exposed to cells. The measured axes of metabolites in each amino acid supplementation are listed in Table 1.

The major  $\widehat{\text{od}}$  ding of this experiment is that urea production and albumin synthesis under the designed amino acid supplementation were found to be increased compared with previously reported (empirical) amino acid supplementation or no amino acid supplementation by reducing the lipid accumulation (Yang et al., 2009). However, from the experimental results, it was found that triglyceride (TG) uptake ( $v_{m30}$ ), free fatty acid (FFA) uptake ( $v_{m28}$ ), and cholesterol synthesis ( $v_{m29}$ ) are not signi $\widehat{\text{oa}}$  antly different among the different amino acid supplementation conditions (details in Table 1). Therefore, intracellular  $\widehat{\text{oa}}$  wes need to be calculated to further investigate the effects of amino acid supplementation on the metabolic state of hepatocytes.

### **MNFA**

First the algorithm for determining the elementary modes (Schuster et al., 2000, 2002) was applied for the hepatic network, which is special for each of three amino acid sup-

plementation (DAA, RAA, and NAA) by the reaction irreversibility based on measurement data from experiment (Table 1). A total of 65,696, 54,754, and 90,079 elementary modes were identified in DAA, RAA, and NAA supplement conditions, respectively. The minimum pathway energy balance (MPEB) constraints were then constructed based on these elementary modes as described in the previous section and incorporated into the MNFA model (I) to estimate the range of each unknown fax.

The numerical values of the range of **a**k values found from the solution of MNFA model (I) are provided in the Supplemenary Data Table S3 (labeled as min\_DG). In the following we are looking at the special pathways (i.e., glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway, TCA cycle, and urea cycle) to investigate the effects of amino acid supplementation on hepatocyte metabolism.

In previous studies, it was found that glucose metabolism of hepatocytes switches to gluconeogenesis during plasma exposure from glycolysis during medium preconditioning (Chan et al., 2003c). However, we found that this switch only happens in the case with no amino acid supplementation during plasma exposure. For the cases of reference and designed amino acid supplementation, cryopreserved rat hepatocytes maintained the glycolysis phenotype during plasma exposure as in the preconditioning. It is also found that designed amino acid supplementation enhances glucose consumption  $(v_{\rm m1})$  and increases the rate of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenation by glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase  $(v_{\rm u38})$  and the rate of its storage as glycogen  $(v_{\rm u43})$  compared to that in reference amino acid supplementation (Fig. 1).

In our previous study, urea production  $(v_{\rm m3})$ , a key liverspeci@function, was increased in designed amino acid supplementation compared to reference amino acid supplementation and no amino acid supplementation conditions during plasma exposure. Arginine, which is the only amino acid that can be directly converted to urea, can be taken up from the culture medium (v<sub>m4</sub>), or synthesized from citrulline and aspartate  $(v_{u14})$ . This step provides the critical link between urea cycle and TCA cycle. In the presence of ATP, aspartate combines with citrulline to form fumarate and arginine, and fumarate returns to the TCA cycle. The âx distribution results (Fig. 2) show that TCA  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ xes (v  $_{u9}$ \*  $v_{u12}$ ) were increased in designed and reference amino acid supplementation compared with no amino acid supplementation. The majority of urea production from arginine is derived from citrulline (urea cycle, v<sub>u14</sub>) instead of form arginine uptake from supplementation (v<sub>m3</sub>), particularly in designed amino acid supplementation.

However, even with the incorporation of the minimum PEB constraints, the ax values are not sufaently constraints to allow to reach any conclusion regarding the differences associated with fatty acid, lipid and glycerol metabolism, oxygen uptake, and electron transport in the different amino acids supplementation conditions. Therefore, the minimum PEB constraints were incorporated into the metabolic objective prediction model to calculate a unique ax distribution and infer the cellametabolic objectives.

# Metabolic objective prediction

By applying the metabolic objective prediction model (III), the metabolic objectives of culture hepatocyte, consistent with

Table 1. Measured Fluxes Under Three Amino Acid Supplementations

		Measured Flux			
	Metabolites	NAA	RAA	DAA	
V <sub>m2</sub>	Glucose	0.22 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.55 0.12	0.67 0.35	
$V_{m2}$	Lactate	$2.44  0.37^{a}$	$3.20  0.36^{a}$	3.47  0.36	
$V_{m3}$	Urea	$0.38  0.05^{a}$	1.18 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.50 0.18	
$V_{m4}$	Arginine	$0.126  0.002^{a}$	0.55 0.01*	0.57 0.01	
$V_{m5}$	Ammonia	$0.29  0.12^{\rm a}$	0.780 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	1.01 0.02	
$V_{m6}$	Ornithine	$0.147  0.005^{a}$	$0.336  0.004^{a}$	0.52  0.03	
$V_{m7}$	Alanine	$0.19  0.15^{a}$	$0.169  0.009^{a}$	0.31 0.01	
$V_{m8}$	Serine	$0.327  0.005^{a}$	$0.347  0.006^{a}$	0.68  0.02	
V <sub>m9</sub>	Cysterine	$0.09  0.02^{\rm a}$	$0.07  0.01^{a}$	0.02  0.01	
$V_{m10}$	Glycine	$0.063  0.005^{a}$	$0.246  0.004^{\rm a}$	0.530  0.009	
V <sub>m11</sub>	Tyrosine	$0.028  0.008^{a}$	$0.03  0.01^{a}$	0.23  0.06	
V <sub>m12</sub>	Glutamate	$0.515  0.008^{a}$	$1.46  0.02^{a}$	1.75  0.03	
V <sub>m13</sub>	Aspartate	$0.009  0.001^{a}$	$0.021  0.001^{a}$	0.030 0.001	
$V_{m14}$	Acetoacetate	0.15 0.05	0.14 0.02	0.150  0.009	
$V_{m15}$	b-hydroxybutyrate	$0.91  0.07^{\rm a}$	0.16 0.02	0.160  0.009	
$V_{m16}$	Threonine	$0.05  0.01^{\rm a}$	$0.21  0.02^{a}$	0.45  0.04	
$V_{m17}$	Lysine	$0.12  0.01^{\rm a}$	$0.07  0.02^{a}$	0.45  0.03	
V <sub>m18</sub>	Pȟenylalanine	$0.053  0.002^{a}$	$0.33  0.01^{a}$	0.11 0.01	
V <sub>m19</sub>	Glutamine	$0.19  0.13^{a}$	$2.77  0.54^{\rm a}$	1.80  0.72	
V <sub>m20</sub>	Proline	0.68 0.11	0.48  0.04	0.46  0.11	
V <sub>m21</sub>	Methionine	0.017 0.006	0.01 0.01	0.05  0.06	
V <sub>m22</sub>	Asparagine	$0.18  0.13^{a}$	$0.081  0.005^{a}$	0.134 0.006	
V <sub>m23</sub>	Valine	$0.175  0.004^{\rm a}$	$0.20  0.02^{\rm a}$	0.65  0.03	
V <sub>m24</sub>	Isoleucine	$0.166  0.003^{a}$	$0.32  0.02^{\rm a}$	0.47 0.03	
V <sub>m25</sub>	Leucine	$0.075  0.002^{a}$	$0.01  0.02^{\rm a}$	0.44  0.04	
V <sub>m26</sub>	Albumin	$0.00003  0.00001^{a}$	$0.0007  0.0002^{a}$	0.00109 0.00004	
V <sub>m27</sub>	Glycerol	$1.77  0.07^{a}$	2.13 0.13	2.075 0.007	
V <sub>m28</sub>	Palmitate	0.76  0.46	1.073 0.008	0.981 0.005	
V <sub>m29</sub>	Cholesterol	0.46 0.12	0.67 0.13	0.75  0.21	
V <sub>m30</sub>	TG	0.93 0.02	0.82 0.13	0.92 0.01	

NAA, no amino acid supplementation; RAA, reference amino acid supplementation; DAA, designed amino acid supplementation. All measured  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  is a relabeled as  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  ean standard derivation  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  unit of  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$  unit of  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$  significant difference of measured  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  is identified between NAA and DAA, and RAA and DAA, respectively (labeled as  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  p < 0.05).

experimental measurements, are identified by the coefficient of relative importance  $(c_j)$  for the three different amino acid supplementations. The results for most important metabolic objectives  $(c_j > 0.1)$  are shown in Table 2.

The analysis implies that hepatocytes prioritize the management of their lipid metabolism by favoring palmitate uptake ( $V_{m28}$ ), and cholesterol ester transformation to palmitate ( $V_{m29}$ ) in the case where no amino acids are supplemented. In the condition of reference amino acid supplementation, the metabolic objectives shift to transport of amino acids and synthesis of ketone bodies via arginine and glycine uptake ( $V_{m4}$  and  $V_{m10}$ ), glutamate and aspartate release ( $V_{m12}$  and  $V_{m13}$ ), and fatty acid oxidation ( $V_{u33}$ ). Finally, in the case of designed amino acid supplementation, hepatocytes@metabolic objectives correspond to fatty acid metabolism: fatty acid oxidation ( $V_{u33}$ ), transformation of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA ( $V_{u6}$ ), and formation of the ketone body b-hydroxybutyrate ( $V_{m15}$ ).

### Lipid metabolism and electron transport chain

From the experimental results, it was found that total free fatty acid uptake [TG uptake ( $v_{m30}$ ), FFA uptake ( $v_{m28}$ ), and cholesterol synthesis ( $v_{m29}$ )] are not signi $\hat{\omega}$ antly different

among the different amino acid supplementation conditions (Table 1). However, intracellular  $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{s}}$ , calculated using model (III) (details in Table 3), indicate that there is lower lipid accumulation in the condition of designed amino acid supplementation due to an increase in TG conversion into fatty acid (v<sub>u42</sub>), an increase in fatty acid conversion to acetyl-CoA (v<sub>u33</sub>), and a decrease of TG storage (v<sub>u45</sub>) compared with other conditions during plasma exposure. These intracellular  $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{x}}$  distributions are consistent with the morphological appearance of the cells, in which lipid droplets are apparent in the absence of amino acid supplementation but are reduced markedly when amino acid supplementation is employed (Yang et al., 2009).

From the obtained  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{k}$  distribution (Table 3), it also found that the  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  kees of oxygen uptake (v  $_{u33}$ ) and the reactions associated with electron transport (v $_{u36}$ , v $_{u37}$ ) were increased under designed amino acid supplementation compared with the other two conditions. From the hepatic energy metabolism aspects, metabolism of amino acids in designed amino acid supplementation increases the amount of NADH and FADH2 through increase of the  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  kees in TCA cycle. The NADH and FADH2 are next utilized with O2 taken from medium to make more ATP via the reactions associated with electron transport.

FIG. 1. Flux distribution in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway. Unknown  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  range is calculated by metabolic network  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  is maximal extracellular  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  is maximal

# Discussion

It has been recognized that amino acids play an important role in regulatory control of hepatic metabolism during plasma exposure such as synthesis/degradation of protein and lipid (Chan et al., 2003c). In our previous work, experimental data showed that designed amino acids supplementation, derived from a rational approach based on linear optimization toward an objective of high urea  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ , led to an increase in urea and albumin production compared with reference amino acid supplementation and no supplementation. In this work, metabolite measurements and  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}/$  pathway analysis were used to characterize the hepatocytes $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ 

state of metabolism under varying amino acid supplementa-

In this system, the number of unknown axes (48 reactions) is greater than the number of mass balance equations (46 internal metabolites). Therefore, intracellular axes cannot be uniquely determined using FBA (Stephanopoulos et al., 1998). Additional constraints are required to reduce the feasible region of an FBA problem. Nolan et al. (2006) has successfully reduced the feasible region of FBA for hypermetabolic liver by incorporating the standard PEB constraints. However, the standard PEB constraints did not consider the effects of the metabolite concentration from different cultured conditions, which can greatly alter the values of Gibbs free energy of the

FIG. 2. Flux distribution in the urea cycle and TCA cycle. Unknown a range is calculated by metabolic network abibility analysis (I) with minimal PEB constraints (labeled the range as a min \* maximand extracellular a sea are measured (labeled as a maximand extracellular a sea are measured (labeled as a maximand derivation). It was found that urea production was significantly increased in designed amino acid supplementation by an increase of a supplementation. Fluxes in TCA cycle were increased in designed and reference amino acid supplementation compared with no amino acid supplementation.

reactions (Beard and Qian, 2005). The hepatic metabolic network considered involve a large number of metabolites, which make the analysis and quantiation of concentration of every metabolite difalt and tedious. In order to resolve this issue, the concentrations of the extracellular metabolites are measured and correspond to the values in the culture medium and in the collected supernatant at the end of experiment (see Supplementary Data Text S1). The concentrations for intracellular metabolites are assumed to be within the range that exist in the literature relative to hepatic metabolism, or within their physiological ranges of the order of mM to mM if no data are available in the literature (Fraenkel, 1992) (see Supplementary Data Text S1). Although exact measurements of concentrations for intracellular metabolites are not available, the proposed methods take into consideration the effects of metabolite concentration on evaluating the Gibbs free energy of pathway rather than relying solely on standard conditions.

In order to check the hypothesis that the additional PEB constraints can help reducing the feasible solution space of hepatic network, the range of each unknown ax was estimated using MNFA model without considering PEB constraints, with standard PEB constraints that are based on standard Gibbs free energy, and minimum PEB constraints that are based on the metabolite concentrations. The numerical results are provided in Supplementary Data Table S3 (labeled as attitude). The results illustrate that a large reduction can be achieved in the range of unknown axes by the addition of either the standard or the minimum PEB constraints compared with the results without PEB constraints in all three

conditions corresponding to different amino acid supplementations. Comparing the two types of PEB constraints, it can be observed that although the standard PEB constraints generate a tighter feasible region for the unknown a values, the addition of the minimum PEB constraints guarantee that the ax range obtained incorporates all possible ax values and provides a more reliable range of metabolic states. Thus, we used minimum PEB constraints to investigate the effects of amino acid supplementation and incorporate these constraints into MOP model (III) to infer the metabolic objectives.

In a traditional  $\hat{\mathbf{o}}\mathbf{k}$  balance analysis, a particular  $\hat{\mathbf{o}}\mathbf{k}$  distribution is determined based on a preselected objective, such

Table 2. Idention of Metabolic Objective Under Three Amino Acid Supplementations

Flux No.	NAA	RAA	DAA
V <sub>m4</sub> Arginine uptake		0.36	
∨ <sub>m10</sub> Glycine uptake		0.12	
V <sub>m12</sub> Glutamate secretion		0.13	
V <sub>m13</sub> Aspartate secretion		0.16	
V <sub>m28</sub> Palmitate uptake	0.46		
V <sub>m29</sub> Cholesterol ester ‰H <sub>2</sub> O?	0.46		
Cholesterol & Palmitate			
V <sub>u33</sub> Palmitate? 8acetyl-CoA		0.11	0.44
V <sub>u6</sub> Pyruvate? Acetyl-CoA %CO <sub>2</sub>			0.24
V <sub>m15</sub> Acetoacetate / ? b-OH-butyrate			0.23

NAA, no amino acid supplementation; RAA, reference amino acid supplementation; DAA, designed amino acid supplementation. The numeric values are  $coef \hat{\Theta}$  ents determined from MOP model (III) that identify the important reactions for each condition.

Table 3. Intracellular Flux Distribution Under Three Amino Acid Supplementations

Flux No.	NAA	RAA	DAA
Glycolytic or gluconeog	enic pathway, PPP, glycogen storage		
$V_{u1}$ , $V_{u2}$ , $V_{u3}$	0.89	0.54	0.00
V <sub>u4</sub> , V <sub>u5</sub>	0.08	3.19	2.39
V <sub>u6</sub>	2.51	6.49	4.89
√ <sub>u38</sub>	0.09	0.001	0.05
	0.40	0.004	0.62
/ <sub>u43</sub>	TCA cy		0.02
/ <sub>u7</sub> , V <sub>u8</sub>	19.07	22.45	30.48
/ <sub>u9</sub>	16.89	22.17	31.60
	16.54	22.01	30.60
J <sub>u10</sub>	16.76	22.98	31.70
/ <sub>u11</sub> , V <sub>u12</sub>	Urea Cy		31.70
/ <sub>u13</sub> , V <sub>u14</sub>	0.30	0.62	1.11
·u13, ·u14	Amino acid c		
/ <sub>u15</sub>	0.10	0.07	0.13
Vu16	0.27	0.13	0.61
	0.05	0.05	0.03
√ <sub>u17</sub>	0.04	0.03	0.46
V <sub>u18</sub>	0.04		0.40
V <sub>u19</sub>		0.48	
$I_{u20}$	5.85	1.07	3.08
$J_{u21}$	0.36	0.16	1.00
$J_{u22}$	0.12	0.04	0.42
$J_{u23}$	0.05	0.33	0.15
$I_{u24}$	0.04	0.18	0.00
$V_{u25}$	1.09	0.14	0.56
$V_{u26}$	0.13	2.78	1.77
$J_{u27}$	0.03	0.22	0.02
V <sub>u28</sub>	0.29	0.25	0.27
V <sub>u29</sub>	2.34	2.35	0.46
V <sub>u30</sub>	0.02	0.01	0.01
V <sub>u31</sub>	0.06	0.27	-0.61
√u31 √u32	0.18	0.09	0.12
	0.09	0.09	0.30
√ <sub>u39</sub>	0.08	0.004	0.30
V <sub>u40</sub>	0.04		0.21
J <sub>u41</sub>		0.15	
$J_{u47}$	2.34	2.36	0.44
$J_{ m u48}$	5.85	1.07	3.08
1	Fatty Acid, lipid, and g 1.22	1.74	2.78
V <sub>u33</sub>	4.81	1.74	2.76
V <sub>u34</sub>			2.29
V <sub>u35</sub>	0.92	0.00	0.38
$V_{u42}$	0.00	0.00	0.32
$V_{u44}$	1.70	2.11	2.39
$V_{u45}$	0.93	0.82	0.60
	Oxygen uptake and elect		04.00
V <sub>u36</sub>	39.77	48.77	64.66
V <sub>u37</sub>	15.18	17.39	25.68
$V_{u46}$	72.57	70.66	100.00

NAA, no amino acid supplementation; RAA, reference amino acid supplementation; DAA, designed amino acid supplementation). Intracellular  $\hat{\omega}$  (mmol/106 cells/day) are calculated from MOP model (III).

as maximization of growth rate for a microorganism (Ibarra et al., 2002), or maximization of urea production for primary hepatocytes (Sharma et al., 2005). Schuetz et al. (2007) evaluated different objective/constraints combinations and found that the objectives of Escherichia coli change with different environmental conditions or biological occurrences. A Bayesian-based objective function discrimination method has thus been developed to  $\hat{\Omega}$ d the most probable objective with a highest posterior probability from a group of spare objectives (Knorr et al., 2007). Recently, a bilevel programming

framework (Objad) has been developed to systematically identify and test metabolic objectives (Burgard and Maranas, 2003) that are the most consistent with experimental data and represented by the value of the coefaent of importance. In this work, we modiad Objad, by taking into consideration ax data of external metabolites, mass balances of all internal metabolites and minimum PEB constraints, to determine the hepatocyte objectives. One of the advantages of this method is that it does not require a preselection of a set of objective functions (Burgard and Maranas, 2003).

Uygun et al. (2007) applied a similar approach of Objad for objective idention in cultured hepatocytes assuming that the cells exhibit the same objective under different conditions. Instead, in our study, the results of MOP analysis reveal the cellular priorities change based on the amino acid supplementation (Table 2). Previous reports suggested that urea synthesis is reduced during plasma exposure, most possibly due to lack of amino acids in the medium (Matthew et al., 1996), which is represented in our studies by the case of no amino acid supplementation. The results of MOP reveal that in this case the cells uptake FFA and transform cholesterol ester to FFA ( $V_{m28}$ ,  $V_{m29}$ ), which is supported by the results reported in the literature of increased TG accumulation (Matthew et al., 1996). When amino acid supplementation was provided in the plasma, TG accumulation was reduced for both reference and designed amino acid supplementation (Chan et al., 2003a; Yang et al., 2009). Given that changes are occurring in pathways beyond the urea cycle, it is not surprising that the metabolic objectives of hepatocytes are changing with varying amino acid supplementations. The solution of the MOP model implies that the metabolic objectives for the case of reference amino acid supplementation are to exchange amino acids (arginine, glycine, glutamate, and aspartate) with cultured medium and to synthesize acetoacetate. For the case of designed amino acid supplementation, arginine and glycine uptake were not selected as cell objectives because the cells have already reached their maximum uptake capacity of arginine and glycine. Excess uptake of any amino acid from the cell can cause buildup of ammonia that should be excreted to avoid toxic effects. Supplying hepatocytes with large amounts of glutamine (6.85 mM in reference and designed amino acid supplementation compared with 0.65 mM in the medium of no amino acid supplementation) results in a large increase of intracellular glutamate via the reaction  $V_{u26}$  and aspartate due to reaction  $V_{u31}$ . Thus secretion of both glutamate and aspartate were among the objectives idential by MOP for the case of reference amino acid supplementation.

Both reference and designed amino acid supplementation idential fatty acid oxidation as an objective, which is an important step to reduce the rate of TG accumulation during plasma exposure (Stefanovich et al., 1996). The coef@ents of importance (c<sub>i</sub>) associated with fatty acid oxidation are greater in designed amino acid supplementation (c<sub>i</sub> %0.44) than in reference amino acid supplementation (c<sub>i</sub> %0.11). A higher value of c<sub>i</sub> indicates a greater priority relative to other functions for the same culture condition, which implies that the reduction of TG accumulation is more pronounced in the designed amino acid supplementation. The other two metabolic objectives idential for the case of designed amino acid supplementation are b-hydroxybutyrate production and transformation of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA, which indicates that the decrease in ketone body production results in more acetyl-CoA entering the TCA cycle to produce urea (Yang et al., 2009). These results indicate that hepatocytes can  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ e tune their intracellular metabolism to maintain their principal metabolic functions upon perturbations in substrate availability.

In summary, a  $\hat{\omega}$  balance analysis integrating experimental data with stoichiometric and thermodynamic constraints was used to analyze the alterations in hepatocyte metabolism under varying amino acid supplementation. The

results showed that amino acid supplementation induces an increase in the  $\hat{\mathbf{o}}$ x of free fatty acid oxidation in hepatic metabolism during plasma exposure. The increase in fatty acid oxidation correlates with the increase in urea production going from no supplementation to reference supplementation to design supplementation, suggesting that this is a pathway that can be manipulated either directly or indirectly to mediate improvements in hepatic function. More generally, this work provides a proof-of-concept for an integrated approach to mammalian cell metabolic engineering in which the computational framework of FBA allows the design of a candidate supplementation, and experimental data obtained under the designed conditions are used to analyze the validity of model assumptions and suggest further improvements towards designed objectives.

# Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the mancial support from the NSF QSB program (BES-0456697), NSF Metabolic Engineering (BES-0519563), and USEPA-funded Environmental Bioinformatics and Computational Toxicology Center (GAD R 832721-010). We thank Professor Kyongbum Lee for providing the standard Gibbs free energy data for metabolites of hepatocyte network.

### **Author Disclosure Statement**

The authors declare that no conforting  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}\!\!\!$  ancinal interests exist.

# References

Arai, K., Lee, K., Berthiaume, F., Tompkins, R.G., and Yarmush, M.L. (2001). Intrahepatic amino acid and glucose metabolism in a D-galactosamine-induced rat liver failure model. Hepatology 34:360 6 1.

Beard, D.A., and Qian, H. (2005). Thermodynamic-based computational proton of cellular regulatory control in hepatocyte metabolism. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 288, E6336644.

Bonarius, H.P.J., Schmid, G., and Tramper, J. (1997). Flux analysis of underdetermined metabolic networks: the quest for the missing constraints. Trends Biotechnol 15, 308 £ 4.

Burgard, A.P., and Maranas, C.D. (2003). Optimization-based framework for inferring and testing hypothesized metabolic objective functions. Biotechnol Bioeng 82, 670 677.

Chalhoub, E., Hanson, R.W., and Belovich, J.M. (2007). A computer model of gluconeogenesis and lipid metabolism in the perfused liver. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 293, E1676ô E1686.

Chan, C., Berthiaume, F., Washizu, J., Toner, M., and Yarmush, M.L. (2002). Metabolic pre-conditioning of cultured cells in physiological levels of insulin: generating resistance to the lipid-accumulating effects of plasma in hepatocytes. Biotechnol Bioeng 78, 753660.

Chan, C., Berthiaume, F., Lee, K., Yarmush, M.L. (2003a). Metabolic ûx analysis of cultured hepatocytes exposed to plasma. Biotechnol Bioeng 81, 33ê9.

Chan, C., Berthiaume, F., Lee, K., and Yarmush, M.L. (2003b). Metabolic 🏟 analysis of hepatocyte function in hormone- and amino acid-supplemented plasma. Metab Eng 5, 1๑.

Chan, C., Hwang, D., Stephanopoulos, G.N., Yarmush, M.L., and Stephanopoulos G. (2003c). Application of multivariate

- analysis to optimize function of cultured hepatocytes. Biotechnol Prog 19, 580@98.
- Covert, M.W., Famili, I., and Palsson, B.O. (2003). Identifying constraints that govern cell behavior: a key to converting conceptual to computational models in biology? Biotechnol Bioeng 84, 763 672.
- Dunn, J.C., Tompkins, R.G., and Yarmush, M.L. (1991). Long-term in vitro function of adult hepatocytes in a collagen sandwich conquation. Biotechnol Prog 7, 237@45.
- Edwards, J.S., and Palsson, B.O. (2000). The Escherichia coli MG1655 in silico metabolic genotype: its detation, characteristics, and capabilities. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97, 5528 5533.
- Edwards, J.S., Covert, M., and Palsson, B. (2002). Metabolic modelling of microbes: the 🏟k-balance approach. Environ Microbiol 4, 133��40.
- Flendrig, L.M., Maas, M.A., Daalhuisen, J., Ladiges, N.C., La Soe, J.W., Te Velde, A.A., et al. (1998). Does the extend of the culture time of primary hepatocytes in a bioreactor affect the treatment ef@acy of a bioarti@al liver? Int J Artif Organs 21, 542@47.
- Fraenkel, D.G. (1992). Genetics and intermediary metabolism. Annu Rev Genet 26, 159677.
- GAMS. (2006). GAMS Userit/Guide. (GAMS Development Corp., Washington, DC).
- Gebhardt, R., Hengstler, J.G., Muller, D., Glockner, R., Buenning, P., Laube, B., et al. (2003). New hepatocyte in vitro systems for drug metabolism: metabolic capacity and recommendations for application in basic research and drug development, standard operation procedures. Drug Metab Rev 35, 145 3.
- Gianchandani, E.P., Oberhardt, M.A., Burgard, A.P., Maranas, C.D., and Papin, J.A. (2008). Predicting biological system objectives de novo from internal state measurements. BMC Bioinformatics 9, 43.
- Hosagrahara, V.P., Hansen, L.K., Beilman, G.J., and Remmel, R.P. (2000). Evaluation of the effect of culture matrices on induction of CYP3A isoforms in cultured porcine hepatocytes. Chem Biol Interact 127, 91606.
- Ibarra, R.U., Edwards, J.S., and Palsson, B.O. (2002). Escherichia coli K-12 undergoes adaptive evolution to achieve in silico predicted optimal growth. Nature 420, 186**6**89.
- Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 28, 27\vec{8}0.
- Kauffman, K.J., Prakash, P., and Edwards, J.S. (2003). Advances in ôx balance analysis. Curr Opin Biotechnol 14, 491696.
- Khannapho, C., Zhao, H., Bonde, B.K., Kierzek, A.M., Avignone-Rossa, C.A., and Bushell, M.E. (2008). Selection of objective function in genome scale ôx balance analysis for process feed development in antibiotic production. Metab Eng 10, 227 833.
- Klamt, S., Stelling, J., Ginkel, M., and Gilles, E.D. (2003). Flux-Analyzer: exploring structure, pathways, and ox distributions in metabolic networks on interactive ox maps. Bioinformatics 19, 261669.
- Knorr, A.L., Jain, R., and Srivastava, R. (2007). Bayesian-based selection of metabolic objective functions. Bioinformatics 23, 3516 7
- Kummel, A., Panke, S., and Heinemann, M. (2006). Putative regulatory sites unraveled by network-embedded thermodynamic analysis of metabolome data. Mol Syst Biol 2, 2006.0034.
- Lee, J.M., Gianchandani, E.P., and Papin, J.A. (2006). Flux balance analysis in the era of metabolomics. Brief Bioinform 7,  $140 \, 600$ .

- Lee, K., Berthiaume, F., Stephanopoulos, G.N., and Yarmush, M.L. (2003). Proking of dynamic changes in hypermetabolic livers. Biotechnol Bioeng 83, 400@15.
- Llaneras, F., and Pico, J. (2008). Stoichiometric modelling of cell metabolism. J Biosci Bioeng 105,  $1\hat{\mathbf{M}}$ .
- Lloyd, T.D., Orr, S., Skett, P., Berry, D.P., and Dennison A.R. (2003). Cryopreservation of hepatocytes: a review of current methods for banking. Cell Tissue Bank 4, 365.
- Matthew, H.W., Sternberg, J., Stefanovich, P., Morgan, J.R., Toner, M., Tompkins, R.G., et al. (1996). Effects of plasma exposure on cultured hepatocytes: implications for bioarti@al liver support. Biotechnol Bioeng 51, 100@11.
- Mavrovouniotis, M.L. (1991). Estimation of standard Gibbs energy changes of biotransformations. J Biol Chem 266, 14440 € 14445.
- Nagrath, D., Avila-Elchiver, M., Berthiaume, F., Tilles, A.W., Messac, A., and Yarmush, M.L. (2007). Integrated energy and **a** balance based multiobjective framework for large-scale metabolic networks. Ann Biomed Eng 35, 863 85.
- Nolan, R.P., Fenley, A.P., and Lee K. (2006). Identication of distributed metabolic objectives in the hypermetabolic liver by a and energy balance analysis. Metab Eng 8, 3065.
- Nussler, A.K., Wang, A., Neuhaus, P., Fischer, J., Yuan, J., Liu, L., et al. (2001). The suitability of hepatocyte culture models to study various aspects of drug metabolism. Altex 18, 91ô 101.
- Saharidis, G.K., and Ierapetritou, M.G. (2008). Resolution method for mixed integer bi-level linear problems based on decomposition technique. J Global Optimizat 44, 29**6**1.
- Sand, T.E., and Christoffersen, T. (1988). A simple medium for the study of hepatocyte growth in culture under de@ed conditions. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol 24, 981@84.
- Schuetz, R., Kuepfer, L., and Sauer U. (2007). Systematic evaluation of objective functions for predicting intracellular axes in Escherichia coli. Mol Syst Biol 3, 119.
- Schuster, S., Fell, D.A., and Dandekar, T. (2000). A general definition of metabolic pathways useful for systematic organization and analysis of complex metabolic networks. Nat Biotechnol 18, 326 22.
- Schuster, S., Hilgetag, C., Woods, J.H., and Fell, D.A. (2002). Reaction routes in biochemical reaction systems: algebraic properties, validated calculation procedure and example from nucleotide metabolism. J Math Biol 45, 153681.
- Sharma, N.S., Ierapetritou, M.G., and Yarmush, M.L. (2005). Novel quantitative tools for engineering analysis of hepatocyte cultures in bioarti@al liver systems. Biotechnol Bioeng 92, 321@35.
- Shi, C.G., Lu, J., and Zhang, G.Q. (2005). An extended Kuhn-Tucker approach for linear bilevel programming. Appl Math Comput 162, 5168.
- Stefanovich, P., Matthew, H.W., Toner, M., Tompkins, R.G., and Yarmush, M.L. (1996). Extracorporeal plasma perfusion of cultured hepatocytes: effect of intermittent perfusion on hepatocyte function and morphology. J Surg Res 66, 57608.
- Stephanopoulos, G.N., Aristidou, A.A., and Nielsen, J. 1998. Metabolic Engineering: Principles and Methodologies. (Academic Press, San Diego,CA).
- Tuschl, G., Lauer, B., and Mueller, S.O. (2008). Primary hepatocytes as a model to analyze species-speciô toxicity and drug metabolism. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 4, 855670.
- Uygun, K., Matthew, H.W., and Huang, Y. (2007). Investigation of metabolic objectives in cultured hepatocytes. Biotechnol Bioeng 97, 622687.

Vallino, J.J., and Stephanopoulos, G. (1994). Carbon ôx distributions at the pyruvate branch point in corynebacteriumô glutamicum during lysine overproduction. Biotechnol Prog 10, 320ô26.

- Varma, A., and Palsson, B.O. (1994). Metabolic 🛍 balancing basic concepts, scienti and practical use. Biotechnology 12, 994 898.
- Wang, N.S., and Stephanopoulos G. (1983). Application of macroscopic balances to the identication of gross measurement errors. Biotechnol Bioeng 25, 2177@208.
- Washizu, J., Berthiaume, F., Chan, C., Tompkins, R.G., Toner, M., and Yarmush, M.L. (2000a). Optimization of rat hepatocyte culture in citrated human plasma. J Surg Res 93, 237 646.
- Washizu, J., Chan, C., Berthiaume, F., Tompkins, R.G., Toner, M., and Yarmush, M.L. (2000b). Amino acid supplementation improves cell-speci⊚functions of the rat hepatocytes exposed to human plasma. Tissue Eng 6, 497 6004.
- Washizu, J., Berthiaume, F., Mokuno, Y., Tompkins, R.G., Toner, M., and Yarmush, M.L. (2001). Long-term maintenance of cytochrome P450 activities by rat hepatocyte/3T3 cell co-cultures in heparinized human plasma. Tissue Eng 7, 691 603.
- Wiback, S.J., Mahadevan, R., and Palsson, B.O. (2004). Using metabolic to data to further constrain the metabolic solution space and predict internal to patterns: the Escherichia coli spectrum. Biotechnol Bioeng 86, 317631.

- Wurm, M., Lubei, V., Caronna, M., Hermann, M., Buttiglieri, S., Bodamer, O., et al. (2009). Introduction of a novel prototype bioarti@al liver support system utilizing small human hepatocytes in rotary culture. Tissue Eng Part A 15, 1063@073.
- Yang, H., Roth, C.M., and Ierapetritou, M.G. (2009). A rational design approach for amino acid supplementation in hepatocyte culture. Biotechnol Bioeng 103, 1176@191.
- Zamboni, N., Kummel, A., and Heinemann, M. (2008). anNET: a tool for network-embedded thermodynamic analysis of quantitative metabolome data. BMC Bioinformatics 9, 199.
- Zupke, C.A., Stefanovich, P., Berthiaume, F., and Yarmush, M.L. (1998). Metabolic effects of stress mediators on cultured hepatocytes. Biotechnol Bioeng 58, 2222230.

Address correspondence to:
 Marianthi G. Ierapetritou
 98 Brett Road
Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering
 Rutgers University
 Piscataway, NJ, 08854

E-mail: marianth@soemail.rutgers.edu